Monthly Report on Program Occupancy Rates for Supportive Housing Programs

Definition of program occupancy rate: A percentage that reflects the average number of tenants residing in a program per night relative to the program capacity.

Purpose of Report: To monitor occupancy rates on an ongoing basis.

Date of Data Pull: 4/8/2022

Date of report issuance: 4/15/2022

Report prepared by: Travis Theders, Database Administrator

Methodology: CSP data will be pulled for each report period, which in this instance consists of a single calendar month. The occupancy number is calculated by summing the length of stay within the report period for all tenants in a program and then dividing that sum by the number of days in the report period.

Occupancy number: Σ((report end date (or exit date in the event the tenant exits the program) – report start date (or entry date in the event of a new tenant)) + 1) ÷ number of days in report period

Occupancy rates will be calculated by first rounding the program occupancy number to the nearest whole number. Next the rounded program occupancy number will be divided by the program capacity, which is defined in the current fiscal year Program Outcome Plan.
Limitations of analysis: CSP data will not have been subjected to quality assurance prior to data extraction.

Report Validation:

Report prepared by:	*Travis Theders* (signature) Travis Theders	4·8·22 Date Signed:
Report verified by:	*Thaddeus Billman* (signature) Thaddeus Billman	4/12/22 Date Signed:
Report approved by:	*Lianna Barbu* (signature) Lianna Barbu	4/12/22 Date Signed:

FY21 - FY22 Average Project Occupancy Rates

Permanent Supportive Housing - Site Based	FY22 Current Capacity[1]	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22
Community Housing Network - Briggsdale[3]	65	96%	96%	96%	99%	96%	94%	95%	97%	98%	99%	96%	100%	100%
Community Housing Network - Briggsdale 2[3]	N/A	100%	100%	98%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Community Housing Network - Cassady[2]	10	100%	100%	100%	90%	88%	90%	90%	90%	91%	105%	105%	100%	100%
Community Housing Network - Creekside	23	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	65%	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	96%
Community Housing Network - East 5th Avenue	38	95%	100%	97%	95%	96%	99%	97%	100%	98%	97%	97%	97%	95%
Community Housing Network - Inglewood Court	45	96%	91%	93%	92%	92%	91%	94%	97%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Community Housing Network - Marshbrook Pl	40	98%	98%	98%	94%	93%	95%	93%	95%	95%	94%	94%	96%	99%
Community Housing Network - North 22nd Street[2]	30	100%	100%	97%	97%	98%	98%	93%	93%	96%	101%	101%	97%	97%
Community Housing Network - Parsons[3]	62	98%	98%	98%	98%	97%	94%	92%	93%	92%	93%	96%	93%	94%
Community Housing Network - Safe Haven	13	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	89%	85%	92%	92%	88%	88%	92%	92%
Community Housing Network - Southpoint Place[2]	46	96%	96%	98%	100%	100%	96%	93%	95%	98%	101%	101%	97%	94%
Community Housing Network - Terrace Place	47	96%	98%	98%	96%	96%	98%	98%	98%	96%	96%	96%	93%	92%
Community Housing Network - Wilson	8	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	88%	88%	100%	100%	100%
Maryhaven-Commons at Chantry	50	94%	94%	94%	92%	92%	92%	92%	90%	92%	92%	90%	90%	94%
National Church Residences - Commons at Buckingham	77	96%	99%	99%	97%	97%	98%	97%	97%	97%	97%	97%	96%	97%
National Church Residences - Commons at Grant	54	91%	93%	96%	94%	96%	95%	94%	95%	98%	100%	100%	97%	98%
National Church Residences - Commons at Livingston[2]	69	94%	96%	96%	93%	94%	96%	98%	100%	103%	106%	106%	105%	103%
National Church Residences - Commons at Third	71	93%	96%	97%	96%	96%	95%	93%	93%	93%	94%	93%	99%	100%
National Church Residences - VOAOI Van Buren Village	60	100%	100%	98%	97%	94%	94%	97%	97%	97%	98%	98%	98%	94%
YMCA - 40 West Long St	84	90%	92%	99%	101%	100%	99%	95%	90%	89%	91%	91%	94%	92%
YMCA - 40 West Long SRA	38	97%	95%	92%	89%	88%	92%	93%	94%	92%	91%	91%	90%	87%
YMCA - Franklin Station[2]	75	101%	101%	101%	101%	101%	100%	97%	99%	99%	99%	100%	96%	97%
YWCA - WINGS	91	96%	90%	86%	83%	83%	83%	81%	87%	88%	89%	89%	94%	93%

[1] Per current fiscal year POP

[2] Where exceeding 100%, project served homeless individuals in non-homeless units or eligible roommates/couples.

[3] Briggsdale 2 capacity was combined with Briggsdale units as of 6/1/2021.

FY21 - FY22 Average Project Occupancy Rates

Permanent Supportive Housing and Transitional Housing	FY22 Current Capacity[1]	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22
Transitional Housing														
Huckleberry House - TLP	24	92%	96%	71%	79%	88%	90%	98%	95%	100%	97%	92%	98%	99%
VOAOI - Veterans Transitional Housing[2]	40	38%	30%	43%	53%	60%	81%	68%	66%	60%	68%	45%	41%	50%
Permanent Supportive Housing - Scattered Sites														
Community Housing Network - Family Homes[4]	10	100%	100%	110%	110%	109%	100%	93%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Equitas Health - TRA	89	96%	98%	100%	98%	98%	96%	97%	96%	94%	96%	96%	93%	94%
Homefull -EHV[5]	304	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17%	24%
Homefull -Leasing	147	82%	85%	85%	83%	82%	80%	80%	80%	79%	84%	84%	87%	90%
Homefull/YMCA - Isaiah Project	160	95%	94%	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	94%	94%	93%	93%	91%	91%
Homefull -SRA	185	74%	72%	72%	75%	75%	74%	73%	72%	72%	70%	68%	66%	65%
Homefull -TRA	246	87%	95%	96%	96%	95%	95%	94%	93%	92%	93%	93%	92%	92%
Homefull -Mainstream[5]	94	N/A	N/A	N/A	24%	24%	28%	28%	29%	35%	39%	38%	44%	46%
VA VASH I - XI[3]	377	98%	99%	98%	98%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	99%	99%	99%	100%
VOAOI - Family PSH	38	97%	95%	95%	86%	85%	86%	87%	87%	85%	80%	80%	77%	81%
YMCA - HOME Scattered Sites[4]	55	102%	102%	100%	98%	98%	98%	97%	96%	96%	95%	95%	91%	90%

[1] Per current fiscal year POP

[2] VOAOI - Veterans is not a HUD CoC funded project but receives VA funding.

[3] Franklin County VASH capacity fluctuates based on need.

[4] Funding allows for overleasing

[5] Project in lease-up.