

Monthly Report on Program Occupancy Rates for Supportive Housing Programs

Report verified by:

Report approved by:

Thaddeus Billman

Lianna Barbu

Definition of program occupancy rate: A percentage that reflects the average number of tenants residing in a program per night relative to the program capacity.

Purpose of Report: To monito	or occupancy rates on an ongoing basis.
Date of Data Pull:	3/8/2022
Date of report issuance:	3/15/2022
Report prepared by: Travis Th	neders, Database Administrator
	be pulled for each report period, which in this instance consists of a single calendar month. The occupancy number is ength of stay within the report period for all tenants in a program and then dividing that sum by the number of days in
Occupancy number: \sum ((reportenant)) + 1) ÷ number of da	t end date (or exit date in the event the tenant exits the program) – report start date (or entry date in the event of a new ys in report period
•	ulated by first rounding the program occupancy number to the nearest whole number. Next the rounded program vided by the program capacity, which is defined in the current fiscal year Program Outcome Plan.
Limitations of analysis: CSP	data will not have been subjected to quality assurance prior to data extraction.
Report Validation:	
Report prepared by:	rayis Theders Date Signed:

Date Signed:

Date Signed:



FY21 - FY22 Average Project Occupancy Rates

	FY22 Current													
Permanent Supportive Housing - Site Based	Capacity ¹	Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22
Community Housing Network - Briggsdale ⁴	65	100%	96%	96%	96%	99%	96%	94%	95%	97%	98%	99%	96%	100%
Community Housing Network - Briggsdale 2 ⁴	N/A	100%	100%	100%	98%	N/A								
Community Housing Network - Cassady ²	10	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	88%	90%	90%	90%	91%	105%	105%	100%
Community Housing Network - Creekside	23	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	65%	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
Community Housing Network - East 5th Avenue	38	89%	95%	100%	97%	95%	96%	99%	97%	100%	98%	97%	97%	97%
Community Housing Network - Inglewood Court	45	96%	96%	91%	93%	92%	92%	91%	94%	97%	98%	100%	100%	100%
Community Housing Network - Marshbrook Pl	40	98%	98%	98%	98%	94%	93%	95%	93%	95%	95%	94%	94%	96%
Community Housing Network - North 22nd Street ²	30	100%	100%	100%	97%	97%	98%	98%	93%	93%	96%	101%	101%	97%
Community Housing Network - Parsons ³	62	76%	98%	98%	98%	98%	97%	94%	92%	93%	92%	93%	96%	93%
Community Housing Network - Safe Haven	13	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	89%	85%	92%	92%	88%	88%	92%
Community Housing Network - Southpoint Place ²	46	93%	96%	96%	98%	100%	100%	96%	93%	95%	98%	101%	101%	97%
Community Housing Network - Terrace Place	47	94%	96%	98%	98%	96%	96%	98%	98%	98%	96%	96%	96%	93%
Community Housing Network - Wilson	8	88%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	88%	88%	100%	100%
Maryhaven-Commons at Chantry	50	94%	94%	94%	94%	92%	92%	92%	92%	90%	92%	92%	90%	90%
National Church Residences - Commons at Buckingham	77	94%	96%	99%	99%	97%	97%	98%	97%	97%	97%	97%	97%	96%
National Church Residences - Commons at Grant	54	93%	91%	93%	96%	94%	96%	95%	94%	95%	98%	100%	100%	97%
National Church Residences - Commons at Livingston ²	69	94%	94%	96%	96%	93%	94%	96%	98%	100%	103%	106%	106%	105%
National Church Residences - Commons at Third	71	93%	93%	96%	97%	96%	96%	95%	93%	93%	93%	94%	93%	99%
National Church Residences - VOAOI Van Buren Village	60	98%	100%	100%	98%	97%	94%	94%	97%	97%	97%	98%	98%	98%
YMCA - 40 West Long St	84	90%	90%	92%	99%	101%	100%	99%	95%	90%	89%	91%	91%	94%
YMCA - 40 West Long SRA	38	97%	97%	95%	92%	89%	88%	92%	93%	94%	92%	91%	91%	90%
YMCA - Franklin Station ²	75	99%	101%	101%	101%	101%	101%	100%	97%	99%	99%	99%	100%	96%
YWCA - WINGS	91	96%	96%	90%	86%	83%	83%	83%	81%	87%	88%	89%	89%	94%

¹Per current fiscal year POP

²Where exceeding 100%, project served homeless individuals in non-homeless units or eligible roommates/couples.

 $^{^3}$ Project capacity increased by 37 units as of 11/1/2020. Project was fully leased by 12/31/2020, but data entry lagged.

⁴Briggsdale 2 capacity was combined with Briggsdale units as of 6/1/2021.



FY21 - FY22 Average Project Occupancy Rates

Permanent Supportive Housing and Transitional Housing	FY22 Current Capacity ¹	Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22
Transitional Housing														
Huckleberry House - TLP	24	100%	92%	96%	71%	79%	88%	90%	98%	95%	100%	97%	92%	98%
VOAOI - Veterans Transitional Housing ²	40	58%	38%	30%	43%	53%	60%	81%	68%	66%	60%	68%	45%	41%
Permanent Supportive Housing - Scattered Sites														
Community Housing Network - Family Homes ⁴	10	90%	100%	100%	110%	110%	109%	100%	93%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Equitas Health - TRA	89	96%	96%	98%	100%	98%	98%	96%	97%	96%	94%	96%	96%	93%
Homefull -EHV ⁵	304	N/A	17%											
Homefull -Leasing	147	83%	82%	85%	85%	83%	82%	80%	80%	80%	79%	84%	84%	87%
Homefull/YMCA - Isaiah Project	160	96%	95%	94%	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	94%	94%	93%	93%	91%
Homefull -SRA	185	71%	74%	72%	72%	75%	75%	74%	73%	72%	72%	70%	68%	66%
Homefull -TRA	246	94%	87%	95%	96%	96%	95%	95%	94%	93%	92%	93%	93%	92%
Homefull -Mainstream ⁵	94	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	24%	24%	28%	28%	29%	35%	39%	38%	44%
VA VASH I - XI ³	377	87%	98%	99%	98%	98%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	99%	99%	99%
VOAOI - Family PSH	38	97%	97%	95%	95%	86%	85%	86%	87%	87%	85%	80%	80%	77%
YMCA - HOME Scattered Sites ⁴	55	102%	102%	102%	100%	98%	98%	98%	97%	96%	96%	95%	95%	91%

¹Per current fiscal year POP

² VOAOI - Veterans is not a HUD CoC funded project but receives VA funding.

 $^{^{3}}$ Franklin County VASH capacity fluctuates based on need.

⁴ Funding allows for overleasing

⁵ Project in lease-up.