

Monthly Report on Program Occupancy Rates for Supportive Housing Programs

Definition of program occupancy rate: A percentage that reflects the average number of tenants residing in a program per night relative to the program capacity.

Purpose of Report: To monitor occupancy rates on an ongoing basis.

Date of Data Pull:

1/12/2017

Date of report issuance:

1/15/2017

Report prepared by: Catherine Kendall, Database Administrator

Methodology: CSP data will be pulled for each report period, which in this instance consists of a single calendar month. The occupancy number is calculated by summing the length of stay within the report period for all tenants in a program and then dividing that sum by the number of days in the report period.

Occupancy number: \sum ((report end date (or exit date in the event the tenant exits the program) – report start date (or entry date in the event of a new tenant)) + 1) + number of days in report period

Occupancy rates will be calculated by first rounding the program occupancy number to the nearest whole number. Next the rounded program occupancy number will be divided by the program capacity, which is defined in the current fiscal year Program Outcome Plan. Limitations of analysis: CSP data will not have been subjected to quality assurance prior to data extraction.

Report Validation:

Report prepared by:

Report verified by:

Report approved by:

Thaddeus Billman

Lianna Barba



FY16 - FY17 Average Project Occupancy Rates

	FY17													
CSB-funded projects	Current Capacity ¹	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16
							-			_				
CAIHS - Scattered Sites ^{6,11}	75	98%	93%	87%	86%	83%	83%	83%	87%	85%	85%	85%	87%	91%
CAIHS - Leasing I ¹¹	NA	100%	117%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
CAIHS - Leasing I & II, and Scattered Sites Expansion ^{2,11}	222	57%	76%	85%	97%	104%	105%	105%	101%	101%	101%	97%	92%	92%
Community Housing Network-Briggsdale	25	96%	100%	96%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	92%	92%	96%	96%	96%
Community Housing Network-Cassady ⁵	10	100%	100%	110%	110%	100%	100%	100%	110%	110%	110%	110%	110%	110%
Community Housing Network-Community ACT	42	100%	100%	98%	95%	95%	98%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	95%
Community Housing Network-East 5th Avenue	38	92%	89%	92%	97%	97%	97%	97%	95%	92%	92%	92%	89%	95%
Community Housing Network-Inglewood Court	45	98%	100%	100%	93%	98%	100%	100%	100%	98%	91%	93%	96%	98%
Community Housing Network-North 22nd Street ⁵	30	97%	100%	100%	103%	97%	97%	97%	100%	100%	103%	100%	93%	93%
Community Housing Network-Terrace Place 7, 12	47	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	94%	94%	94%	64%	96%	100%
Community Housing Network-Parsons	25	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	96%	96%	96%	96%
Community Housing Network-RLPTI ³	108	94%	94%	94%	86%	90%	89%	94%	93%	97%	97%	99%	100%	98%
Community Housing Network-Safe Haven ⁴	13	108%	108%	108%	108%	108%	108%	108%	108%	108%	108%	108%	108%	108%
Community Housing Network-Southpoint Place	46	96%	96%	98%	96%	93%	93%	91%	93%	93%	98%	96%	100%	98%
Community Housing Network-St. Clair ^{5, 7}	30	107%	110%	107%	97%	97%	97%	97%	97%	97%	93%	93%	23%	NA
Community Housing Network- Leasing Supportive Housing ⁵	25	100%	104%	104%	104%	104%	104%	104%	104%	104%	104%	104%	100%	104%
Maryhaven-Commons at Chantry	50	94%	94%	94%	94%	96%	98%	96%	96%	92%	94%	96%	92%	92%
National Church Residences-Commons at Grant	50	96%	100%	98%	100%	98%	96%	96%	96%	98%	98%	100%	98%	96%
National Church Residences-Commons at Buckingham	75	99%	99%	99%	99%	99%	100%	100%	99%	97%	96%	96%	97%	99%
National Church Residences-Commons at Livingston	25	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%	92%	92%	92%	92%	96%	96%
National Church Residences-Commons at Livingston II	35	97%	94%	97%	97%	100%	97%	97%	91%	94%	94%	91%	94%	97%
National Church Residences-Commons at Third	60	98%	98%	98%	98%	93%	92%	98%	98%	95%	97%	98%	95%	95%
National Church Residences-VOAGO Van Buren Village	60	NA	NA	100%	97%	97%	97%	97%	97%	98%	98%	100%	100%	100%
VA VASH I - VIII ¹⁰	372	NA	NA	60%	68%	68%	68%	68%	68%	68%	68%	68%	68%	63%
YMCA-40 West Long St ⁸	105	85%	80%	75%	79%	85%	92%	98%	97%	94%	94%	94%	96%	96%
YMCA-Franklin Station ⁵	75	101%	101%	101%	101%	99%	97%	99%	97%	100%	103%	103%	103%	103%
YWCA-WINGS ⁹	91	99%	97%	97%	96%	96%	96%	81%	83%	88%	91%	87%	74%	91%

¹Per current fiscal year POP

Grey shading denotes a percentage under 95% occupancy rate; goal is for a 95% occupancy rate. For SPC projects, the goal was increased to 100% as of 07/01/2013, so gray shading will indicate an average occupancy below 100%.

 $^{^{2}}$ Project over 100% due to overleasing as requested by CSB.

³ The following projects house clients that are receiving CHN Shelter Plus Care subsidies: CHN-Family Homes (TRA/4 households); CHN-RLPTI (TRA/19 households); CHN-RLPTI (SRA/4 households).

⁴ Three of the 13 units can house up to two individuals and these units are frequently but not always assigned to couples in which both partners are Rebuilding Lives eligible.

 $^{^{5}}$ Where exceeding 100%, project served RL individuals in Non-RL units or eligible roommates/couples.

⁶ Columbus Area is decreasing the census for this program due to limited funding availability.

⁷St. Clair tenants are transitioning to Terrace Place. Terrace Place will reach full capacity by the end of December 2016, at which time St. Clair will fully cease operations.

⁸ Lower occupancy due to Alvis House unit transition.

⁹Project in transition due to renovation work projected to be finalized October 2016. Project merged with WINGS II and YWCA SPC projects December 2016 and capacity increased from 69 t o 91.

¹⁰Project in process of data entry. Vouchers increased from 344 to 372 December 2016.

 $^{^{11}}$ As of July 2016, CAIHS Scattered Sites Expansion was separated from Scattered Sites and combined with Leasing I and II.

¹²Formerly N. High, project name was changed to Terrace Place in CSP as of 10/26/2016.



FY16 - FY17 Average Project Occupancy Rates

	FY17													
_	Current												ı l	
HUD CoC FUNDED PROJECTS ¹	Capacity ²	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16
Transitional Housing														
Huckleberry House - TLP ⁶	28	125%	129%	125%	125%	111%	100%	100%	100%	93%	96%	100%	100%	100%
Friends of the Homeless-New Horizons ⁷	36	89%	94%	97%	97%	94%	72%	56%	28%	22%	17%	14%	11%	3%
VOAGO - Veterans Transitonal Housing ³	40	100%	100%	100%	95%	98%	93%	90%	85%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Permanent Supportive Housing														
Community Housing Network-Family Homes ⁴	15	93%	93%	93%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Community Housing Network-Wilson	8	88%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
VOAGO - Family PSH	30	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%
Rental Assistance														
Amethyst-SPC ^{5, 8}	72	106%	101%	97%	90%	85%	85%	85%	79%	78%	72%	72%	72%	74%
Equitas Health - TRA ⁵	89	104%	104%	104%	104%	104%	104%	107%	104%	104%	106%	106%	106%	107%
Community Housing Network-SPC SRA ^{4, 5}	172	103%	97%	94%	95%	94%	91%	92%	91%	96%	101%	102%	102%	101%
Community Housing Network-SPC TRA ^{4, 5}	149	119%	121%	123%	123%	123%	123%	123%	123%	123%	122%	121%	121%	121%
YMCA-40 West Long St Expansion ⁵	38	111%	108%	108%	105%	100%	95%	92%	89%	95%	103%	103%	103%	100%
Total Rental Assistance	520	111%	108%	108%	107%	105%	104%	105%	103%	105%	106%	106%	106%	106%

¹As of 07/01/2014 all projects are CSB funded.

Grey shading denotes a percentage under 95% occupancy rate; goal is for a 95% occupancy rate. For Rental Assistance projects, the goal was increased to 100% as of 07/01/2013, so gray shading will indicate an average occupancy below 100%.

² Per current fiscal year POP

³ VOAGO - Veterans is not a HUD CoC funded project but receives VA funding. As of 01/01/2011 it is mandatory for this project to participate in CSP.

⁴ The following projects house clients that are receiving CHN Shelter Plus Care subsidies: CHN-Family Homes (TRA/4 households); CHN-RLPTI (TRA/19 households); CHN-RLPTI (SRA/4 households).

⁵CMHA allows over-leasing for this project.

⁶TLP was operating the project at a higher capacity than previously stated.

⁷Where occupancy is below goal, project changed target population. Project lost HUD funding and in process of reducing census.

⁸Amethyst numbers were updated to reflect accurate occupancy following data corrections dating back to the beginning of FY16.