

Monthly Report on Program Occupancy Rates for Supportive Housing Programs

Definition of program occupancy rate: A percentage that reflects the average number of tenants residing in a program per night relative to the program capacity.

capacity.			
Purpose of Report: To n	nonitor occupancy rates on an ongoing	g basis.	
Date of Data Pull:	2/9/2011		
Date of report issuance:	2/15/2011		
Report prepared by: Cat	therine Kendall, Database Administrato	or	
			gle calendar month. The occupancy number is en dividing that sum by the number of days in the
Occupancy number: ∑((intenant)) + 1) ÷ number o	•	nt the tenant exits the program) - rep	ort start date (or entry date in the event of a new
• •	, , ,	am occupancy number to the nearest which is defined in the current fiscal year	whole number. Next the rounded program or Program Outcome Plan.
Limitations of analysis: C	CSP data will not have been subjected	to quality assurance prior to data extra	action.
Report Validation:			
Report prepared by:	Catherine Kendall	Date Signed	l:
Report verified by:	Keiko Takusagawa	Date Signed	<u> </u>
Report approved by:	Lianna Barbu	Date Signed	<u> </u> :



2010 - 2011 Average Program Occupancy Rates

	FY11 Current												
CSB-funded programs	Capacity 1	Feb-10	Mar-10	Apr-10	May-10	Jun-10	Jul-10	Aug-10	Sep-10	Oct-10	Nov-10	Dec-10	Jan-11
Community Housing Network-Briggsdale	25	96%	92%	96%	96%	92%	96%	92%	88%	92%	100%	96%	96%
Community Housing Network-Community ACT	42	100%	100%	98%	100%	102%	98%	100%	98%	100%	98%	90%	95%
Community Housing Network-East 5th Avenue		92%	95%	97%	97%	97%	95%	95%	97%	97%	97%	92%	89%
Community Housing Network-North 22nd Street	30	97%	93%	90%	97%	100%	97%	87%	87%	90%	97%	97%	100%
Community Housing Network-North High Street	33	103%	103%	97%	100%	100%	103%	103%	100%	100%	97%	100%	97%
Community Housing Network-Cassady	10	100%	100%	100%	100%	110%	110%	110%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%
Community Housing Network-Parsons ²	25	100%	100%	92%	96%	96%	92%	92%	96%	92%	96%	96%	100%
Community Housing Network-Safe Haven ³	13	115%	115%	115%	108%	108%	115%	115%	108%	108%	115%	115%	115%
Community Housing Network-St. Clair ⁷	26	96%	100%	96%	100%	100%	104%	100%	104%	104%	96%	100%	104%
Community Housing Network-Southpoint Place	46	96%	96%	98%	98%	96%	98%	100%	100%	98%	98%	98%	100%
Maryhaven Commons at Chantry	50	98%	98%	98%	98%	94%	98%	96%	98%	98%	98%	98%	96%
National Church Residences-Commons at Buckingham RL ⁶	75	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	73%	95%	100%	100%	99%	100%
National Church Residences-Commons at Grant	50	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Southeast-Scattered Sites ^{2, 4}	120	107%	82%	90%	95%	99%	100%	98%	95%	94%	95%	97%	98%
YMCA-40 West Long St ⁵	105	100%	102%	101%	101%	99%	99%	100%	99%	100%	99%	101%	100%
YMCA-Sunshine Terrace ⁵	75	97%	100%	100%	99%	100%	97%	97%	100%	103%	100%	100%	96%
YWCA-WINGS	69	97%	100%	97%	97%	96%	99%	97%	96%	100%	100%	100%	97%
Rebuilding Lives PACT Team Initiative ²	108	97%	97%	99%	101%	100%	98%	96%	96%	95%	94%	99%	97%

¹ Per current fiscal year POP

Grey shading denotes a percentage under 95% occupancy rate; goal is for a 95% occupancy rate.

² The following programs house clients that are receiving CHN Shelter Plus Care subsidies: CHN-Family Homes (SRA /10 households); CHN-Parsons (SRA / 15 households); RLPTI (TRA /22 households); Southeast Scattered Sites (TRA / 2 households).

³ Three of the 13 units can house up to two individuals and these units are frequently but not always assigned to couples in which both partners are Rebuilding Lives eligible.

⁴ Southeast expanded the program by 30 chronic homeless units as of June 2010.

⁵ Where exceeding 100%, at its discretion, the YMCA can admit a new client into its program slightly before an RL unit is vacated.

 $^{^{\}rm 6}$ Program in lease-up phase through September 2010.

 $^{^{7}}$ CHN's commitment for St. Clair is 26 units; there are currently 27 Rebuilding Lives tenants in the program.



2010 - 2011 Average Program Occupancy Rates

HUD CoC FUNDED PROGRAMS ¹	FY11 Current Capacity ²	Feb-10	Mar-10	Apr-10	May-10	Jun-10	Jul-10	Aug-10	Sep-10	Oct-10	Nov-10	Dec-10	Jan-11
Transitional Housing													
Amethyst-RSVP ^{3, 10}	8	75%	100%	88%	75%	75%	88%	100%	100%	100%	88%	100%	125%
Huckleberry House	24	100%	96%	96%	92%	88%	96%	96%	88%	96%	100%	96%	96%
Friends of the Homeless-New Horizons	36	94%	97%	97%	92%	92%	92%	83%	81%	89%	100%	92%	86%
VOAGO - Veterans ⁴	40	103%	103%	103%	105%	105%	105%	105%	103%	103%	108%	98%	100%
Permanent Supportive Housing													
Community Housing Network-Family Homes ⁶	15	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	93%	87%	87%	87%	87%	100%
Community Housing Network-Wilson	8	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
VOAGO - Family Supportive Housing	30	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
Shelter Plus Care													
Amethyst-SPC ⁸	92	92%	90%	86%	87%	91%	92%	93%	93%	92%	86%	88%	89%
Columbus AIDS Task Force - TRA ⁵	89	97%	97%	98%	99%	102%	106%	106%	103%	103%	102%	104%	104%
Community Housing Network-SPC SRA ^{5, 6, 9}	172	128%	127%	124%	126%	119%	115%	113%	113%	112%	112%	113%	116%
Community Housing Network-SPC TRA ^{5, 6, 7}	149	93%	95%	96%	91%	92%	91%	91%	97%	97%	98%	106%	105%
Faith Mission - Shelter Plus Care ^{5, 9}	9	116%	107%	102%	102%	98%	69%	67%	67%	78%	100%	100%	100%
Total Shelter Plus Care	511	105%	104%	103%	102%	103%	101%	101%	102%	102%	101%	105%	106%

¹ Programs are non-CSB funded.

Grey shading denotes a percentage under 95% occupancy rate; goal is for a 95% occupancy rate.

² Per current fiscal year POP

³ Program occupancy rate goal set at 85%.

⁴ VOAGO - Veterans is not a HUD CoC funded program but receives VA funding. As of 01/01/2011 it is mandatory for this program to participate in CSP.

 $^{^{\}rm 5}\,{\rm CMHA}$ allowed over-leasing for this program.

⁶ The following programs house clients that are receiving CHN Shelter Plus Care subsidies: CHN-Family Homes (SRA /10 households); CHN-Parsons (SRA / 15 households); RLPTI (TRA /22 households); Southeast Scattered Sites (TRA / 2 households).

⁷ Prior to March 2010 CHN TRA experienced a lower occupancy rate due to CMHA's mass unit transfer from TRA to Section 8.

 $^{^{8}\}mbox{Due}$ to a mass transfer from SPC to Section 8, Amethyst SPC is experiencing a lower occupancy rate.

 $^{^{9}}$ 35 Units were transferred from LSS FM SPC to CHN SPC SRA as of 06/01/2010, affecting the capacity of both programs.

¹⁰ In times of extreme demand, Amethyst is able to increase capacity temporarily by doubling up single women in two-bedroom apartments.